State University System of Florida Hinkley Center for Solid and Hazardous Waste Management

PROGRESS REPORT 3

August 8, 2023

TITLE: PFAS in biosolids: Partitioning during wastewater treatment and leaching from Florida biosolids

COMPLETION DATE: August 31, 2023 (anticipated) –

>> Note: 4 month extension requested until Dec 31, 2023

Due to the late start of the project, it will be necessary to request a 4-month no-cost extension for the planned end date.

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS:

- Berrin Tansel, Ph.D., P.E., Professor, Civil and Environmental Engineering Department, Florida International University
- Yelena Katsenovich, Senior Research Scientist, Applied Research Center (ARC), Florida International University
- Natalia Soares Quinete, Assistant Professor, Environmental and Bioanalytical Chemistry, Florida International University

During the first quarter of this project, the following activities have been performed:

The contract was finalized on December 19, 2023. Therefore, the notice to proceed came 3 months later than the planned project start date of Sept 1, 2022.

During this period, the following activities have been performed:

- 1. Biosolids Sampling at Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department:
 - Conducted sampling of biosolids after dewatering and drying units at two Miami-Dade wastewater treatment plants (South District and Central District Wastewater Treatment Plants).

Sampling locations (collected as duplicate samples): Total 8 samples

- 1. After thickening
- 2. After digestion
- 3. After centrifugation
- 4. After drying



1. Test methods

Test plans and methods for leaching experiments, PFAS analyses (expanded for 40 PFAS compounds), and biosolids characterization for (TS, organic content, and protein) have been finalized. Test standards have been received. Test steps have been optimized.

- 2. Biosolids characterization tests (Dr. Katsenovich)
 - Biosolids were analyzed for total solids, volatile solids, fixed solids fractions.
- Biosolids PFAS leaching experiments (Dr. Katsenovich) One undergraduate student, Zariah Nasir, has been hired and working with Dr. Katsenovich.
 - Conducting leaching experiments to evaluate the release of PFAS from biosolids under site-specific conditions.
 - Initiated protein procedures by preparing 5% surfactant Triton X-100 and drying samples for subsequent grinding and weighting 0.5 g in each triplicate. The protein analysis will be conducted via Pierce[™] BCA Protein Assay Kit obtained from Fisher Scientific.

Initiating the PFAS leaching experiments began with determining the moisture content. Samples from both treatment plants were carefully placed in aluminum dishes and subjected to drying in an oven at 100° C until stable weight was obtained. Subsequently, the dried samples were transferred to a desiccator for cooling before recording weight. In a sequential process, each of the dried samples underwent incineration in a furnace at 550°C for a duration of 2 hours. Following incineration, the samples were carefully transferred to a desiccator for the purpose of cooling (Figure 1A). The resulting solid concentrations obtained from this process formed the basis for the subsequent leaching phase. Throughout these procedures, samples were collected from the digester, thickener, and centrifuge stages in duplicates, while dried biosolid samples were

gathered in triplicates. To ensure precise handling, 50 mL polypropylene tubes were employed, and 5 g of each sample were combined with 50 mL of distilled water. This careful preparation facilitated the leaching process, allowing for a detailed study of the leaching of PFAS into the aqueous phase. Controlled agitation was achieved using an end-over-end tube revolver, maintained at a constant speed of 10 rpm. Samples were collected at specific time intervals (1 day, 3 days, 7 days, 14 days, and 30 days) for subsequent analysis. Following each designated sampling point, the samples underwent centrifugation at 4,500 RPM for 30 minutes. The resulting supernatant was then carefully extracted and transferred into separate clean 50 mL polypropylene tubes (Figure 1B). Meanwhile, the solid residue was preserved by storing it in a freezer. In addition, selective 1 mL subsamples were collected and stored under controlled refrigeration for further analysis utilizing an ICP-OES machine. Disposable tubes were employed, containing 200 uL of the sample and 3.8 mL of 1% Nitric Acid. A dilution factor was calculated for each sample. The tubes were subsequently subjected to analysis using the ICP-OES instrument (Perkin Elmer Optima 7300 DV), providing data for elements such as phosphorus, calcium, iron, aluminum, and magnesium. Throughout the analysis, careful monitoring of analytical recoveries was conducted to ensure that they remained within acceptable ranges.



Figure 1. A) Dried samples in triplicates after incineration in a furnace at 550°C for 2 hours transferred to a desiccator for cooling and analyzed for total solids, volatile solids, fixed solids fractions; B) Extracted supernatant transferred into separate clean 50 mL polypropylene tubes for PFAS analysis.

4. Biosolids and leachate PFAS analyses (Dr. Quinete)

One undergraduate student, Maria Mendoza Manzano was hired in July 2023 and began training to perform PFAS sample preparation and analysis, and one graduate student, Joshua Ocheje, who recently joined in Spring 2023 the Ph.D.

program in the Chemistry and Biochemistry department are working with Dr. Quinete.

• Analyzing biosolids leachate samples for PFAS content and component profile; determine the prevalent PFAS compounds.

Sample preparation/extraction steps: To avoid cross-contamination, all containers, bottles and tubing used during extraction and sample preparation were rinsed twice with solvents of different polarities: methylene chloride, hexane, acetone, methanol. All the solvents used in this analysis are HPLC grade and previously evaluated for potential PFAS contamination. Leachate samples were processed through solid phase extraction (SPE) using Strata-XL AW (500 mg/3 mL) cartridges on a semi-automated SPE equipment for extraction and preconcentration of PFAS (Figure 2). In short, cartridges were successively pre-conditioned with 12 mL of 0.3% ammonia (NH₄) in methanol, 12 mL of methanol and equilibrated with 5 mL of water, before loading of 45 mL biosolid leachate sample spiked with 50 µL of the labeled extraction standard (MPFAC-HIF-ES) mixture (2.5 ng mL⁻¹). Samples were loaded (Figure 2A) into the cartridges under vacuum and after all has passed, cartridges are left to dry for about 1-2 hours. In the second stage (Figure 2B), cartridges are eluted with 10 mL of 0.3% NH₄ in methanol, which is further evaporated to dryness under a gentle nitrogen flow in a heated water bath at 40 °C (Figure 3), then reconstituted to a 450 µL volume with 95:5% (vol/vol) 2mM ammonium formate/methanol. Reconstituted samples are transferred into LC polypropylene vials and 50 μ L of 2.5 ng mL⁻¹ labeled internal standard mixture (MPFAC-HIF-IS) are added before injection. Samples are kept refrigerated until LC-MS/MS analysis.

For quality control purposes, blanks, spiked blanks, and duplicate analysis were processed for each experiment through the same procedure as the samples. Blank samples consisted of 45 mL LC-MS grade water spiked with the labeled extraction standard (MPFAC-HIF-ES) mixture (2.5 ng mL⁻¹), while spiked blanks were prepared with 45 mL LC-MS grade water spiked with 250 μ L of 2.5 ng mL⁻¹ of native standard mixture (containing 40 PFAS from PFAC-MXF, PFAC-MXG, PFAC-MXH, PFAC-MXI and PFAC-MXJ) and 50 μ L the labeled extraction standard (MPFAC-HIF-ES) mixture (2.5 ng mL⁻¹). List of PFAS being analyzed is shown in Table 1.

Sample analysis: After SPE, 100 μ L of samples were injected and analyzed by an Agilent 1290 Infinity II LC interfaced to an Agilent 6470 triple quadrupole LC-MS/MS system equipped with Agilent Jet Stream electrospray ionization (ESI) source in negative mode. The LC system was modified with PFAS free tubing and a delay column (Hypersil GOLD aQ C18, 20 × 2.1 mm, 12 μ m) was placed between the mobile phase mixer and the sample injector. A Hypersil GOLD pentafluorophenyl (PFP) column (150 mm × 2.1 mm, 3 μ m) with a PFP guard column (Hypersil Gold PFP 5 μ m drop-in guards) was used as analytical column for PFAS separation and maintained at a temperature of 50 °C using 95:5 2mM ammonium acetate:methanol and methanol as mobile phases in a flow rate of 0.4

mL/min. Sample acquisition was performed using a multiple-reaction monitoring (MRM) method in negative mode for the simultaneous quantification of multiple PFAS, which included when available two transitions per compound for quantitative and identification (qualitative) purposes.

Preliminary Results:

- Method detection limits calculated based on the lowest level of the calibration curve (that produced a S/N> 3 and accuracy between 70-130%) and considering a 90 times concentration factor ranged from 0.02 to 22 ng/L.
- Recoveries ranged for most of the compounds from 40 to 160%
- Predominant PFAS detected in biosolids leachates, detected in > 75% were PFBA, PFHxA, PFHpA, FPePA, PFOA, PFNA, PFDA, PFHpS, 6,-2FTS, 8-2FTS, N-MeFOSAA, N-EtFOSAA and FOSA
- PFOS were detected in 58% of the samples analyzed and PFOA in 100% (N=17) with concentrations up to 34 ng/L and 16 ng/L, respectively.
- High levels up to 1,180 ng/L were observed for FPePA



Figure 2: Semi-automated SPE equipment showing (A) stage 1- leachate samples being loaded in the cartridges and (B) stage 2- samples being eluted into 60 mL glass amber vials.



Figure 3: Nitrogen evaporation of the leachate samples after elution

Abbreviation	Compound Name	Molecular Formula	Molecular Weight			
Perfluoroalkyl carboxylic acids						
PFBA	Perfluorobutanoic acid	C4HF7O2	214.04			
PFPeA	Perfluoropentanoic acid	C5HF9O2	264.05			
PFHxA	Perfluorohexanoic acid	$C_6HF_{11}O_2$	314.05			
PFHpA	Perfluoroheptanoic acid	C7HF13O2	364.06			
PFOA	Perfluorooctanoic acid	C8HF15O2	414.07			
PFNA	Perfluorononanoic acid	C9HF17O2	464.08			
PFDA	Perfluorodecanoic acid	C10HF19O2	514.08			
PFUdA	Perfluoroundecanoic acid	$C_{11}HF_{21}O_2$	564.09			
PFDoA	Perfluorododecanoic acid	$C_{12}HF_{23}O_2$	614.10			

Table 1. List of analyzed PFAS

PFTrDA	Perfluorotridecanoic acid	C13HF25O2	664.11	
PFTeDA	Perfluorotetradecanoic acid	C14HF27O2	714.11	
Perfluoroalkyl s	sulfonic acids			
PFBS	Perfluorobutanesulfonate	C4HF9O3S	300.10	
PFPeS	Perfluoropentanesulfonate	350.11		
PFHxS	Perfluorohexanesulfonate	400.11		
PFHpS	Perfluoroheptanesulfonate	C7HF15O3S	450.12	
PFOS	Perfluorooctanesulfonate	C8HF17O3S	500.13	
PFNS	Perfluorononanesulfonate	C9HF19O3S	550.14	
PFDS	Perfluorodecanesulfonate	$C_{10}HF_{21}O_3S$	600.14	
PFDoS	Perfluorododecanesulfonate	C ₁₂ HF ₅ O ₃ S	700.16	
Fluorotelomer s	sulfonic acids			
4-2 FTS	1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorohexanesulfonate	C ₆ H ₅ F ₉ O ₃ S	328.15	
6-2FTS	1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorooctanesulfonate	428.17		
8-2 FTS	1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluorodecanesulfonate C ₁₀ H ₅ F ₁₇ O ₃ S		528.18	
Perfluorooctand	e sulfonamides			
FOSA	Perfluorooctanesulfonamide	C8H2F17NO2S	499.15	
NMeFOSA	N-methyl perfluorooctanesulfonamide C9H4F17NO2S		513.17	
NEtFOSA	N-ethyl perfluorooctanesulfonamide C ₁₂ H ₁₀ F		571.25	
Perfluorooctano	e sulfonamidoacetic acids			
N-MeFOSAA	N-methylperfluoro-1- octanesulfonamidoacetic acid	$C_{11}H_6F_{17}NO_4S$	571.21	
N-EtFOSAA	N-ethylperfluoro-1-octanesulfonamidoacetic C ₁₂ H ₈ F ₁₇ NO ₄ S acid		585.23	
Perfluorooctan				
NMeFOSE	N-methyl perfluorooctanesulfonamidoethanol	$C_{11}H_4F_{21}NO_3S$	629.19	
NEtFOSE	N-ethyl perfluorooctanesulfonamidoethanol	-ethyl perfluorooctanesulfonamidoethanol C ₁₂ H ₆ F ₂₁ NO ₃ S		
Per- and Polyflu	uoroether carboxylic acids			
HFPO-DA	2,3,3,3-Tetrafluoro-2-(1,1,2,2,3,3,3- heptafluoropropoxy)-propanoic acid	$1,2,2,3,3,3$ - panoic acid $C_6HF_{11}O_3$ 330.05		
ADONA	4,8-Dioxa-3H-perfluorononanoic acid	$C_{10}H_{11}N_4NaO_5S$	322.27	
PFMPA	Perfluoro-3-methoxypropanoic acidC4HF7O3230.0			
PFMBA	Perfluoro-4-methoxybutanoic acid	o-4-methoxybutanoic acid C5HF9O3 280.04		
NFDHA	Nonafluoro-3,6-dioxaheptanoic acid	C5HF9O4	296.04	

Ether sulfonic a						
9Cl-PF3ONS	9-Chlorohexadecafluoro-3-oxanonane-1-	C ₈ HClF ₁₆ O ₄ S	532.58			
	sulfonic acid					
11Cl-	11-Chloroeicosafluoro-3-oxaundecane-1-	$C_{10}HClF_{20}O_4S$	632.60			
PF3OUdS	sulfonic acid					
PFEESA	Perfluoro(2-ethoxyethane)sulfonic acid	C ₄ HF ₉ O ₄ S	316.10			
Fluorotelomer carboxylic acids						
FPrPA or	3-Perfluoropropyl propanoic acid	C ₆ H ₅ F ₇ O ₂	242.09			
3:3FTCA						
FPePA or	3-Perfluoropentyl propanoic acid	$C_8H_5F_{11}O_2$	342.11			
5:3FTCA						
FHpPA or	3-Perfluoroheptyl propanoic acid	$C_{10}H_{3}F_{17}O_{2}$	478.10			
7:3FTCA						

In the analytical part, what we have accomplished so far:

- Optimization of LC-MS conditions and MS parameters (MRM transitions) for the analysis of 40 PFAS
- Extraction of a total of 60 out of 80 biosolids leachate samples from the leaching experiments.
- LC-MS/MS analysis of a total of 40 leachate samples, plus blanks and spiked blank samples
- Currently extracting remaining 20 samples with planned analysis in the following 2 weeks.
- Currently processing the data using the MassHunter QQQ Quantitation analysis software (Figure 4)

Next steps:

- Method development for assessment of 40 PFAS on biosolids samples
- Extraction and LC-MS/MS analysis of PFAS in biosolids used for the leachate experiments.

麗 ・			Agilent MassHunt	er Quantitative	Analysis (for QQQ)	- 080123Bioso	lid_Leachate_Joshu	a 2 - 080123	Biosolids Leachat	ate_Joshua2.batch.bin	? - 8 ×
File Hor	ve View	Method	Tools	Help							
Ch Ch 🗈		Quartitate ~	Clear Results			nuts []	רא × Delete				
		Calibration •				ports -	C Paste				
New Open Save Batch Batch Batch	Add Delete Anal Samples Samples Bate	yze h⊯ Integrate ♥	Generate Report	Edit Report Meti	od Query		opy Q Find				
Batch	Sameles	Analyze		Res	ert.		Teliting				
		Stational Add			SOA 3		A CONTRACTOR OF				
Batch Table											- *×
Sample: A 7062309 100ppt	 Sample Type: <al></al> 		 Compound: < PFB/ 		• > 157	ID: MPFBA		副目目	m	FFFF	
0	Sample			PFBA Me		PFBA Results	is	MPFBA (IS	TD) Results		
(1) v Name	Data File	Туре	Level Acq. Date-Time	Exp. Conc.	RT Resp. MI	Calc. Conc. F	Final Conc. Accurac	RT	Resp.		
9 ¥ 7062301 BLK 1	7062301 BLK 1.d	Blank	8/1/2023 4:20 PM		1.292 0 🗹	0.0000	0.0000	1.003	26		
7062302 MeOH	7062302 MeOH.d	Blank	8/1/2023 4:40 PM		0.965 3122	51581.17_	51581.17	1.139	147		
7062303 0 ppt	7062303 0 ppt.d	Blank	8/1/2023 5:00 PM		1.275 1315	1.9373	1.9373	1.264	963464		
7062304 2ppt	7062304 2ppt.d	Cal	1 8/1/2023 5:20 PM	8.0000	1.242 3371	8.7753	8.7753 109.	7 1.256	962634		
9 7062305 Sppt	7062305 5ppt.d	Cal	2 8/1/2023 5:40 PM	20.0000	1.258 5841	21.3726	21.3726 106.	9 1.256	784885		
9 7062306 10ppt	7062306 10ppt.d	Cal	3 8/1/2023 6:00 PM	40.0000	1.250 116_	39,4968	39.4968 98.	7 1.247	887418		
7062307 20ppt	7052307 20ppt.d	Cal	4 8/1/2023 6:20 PM	80.0000	1.242 214_	76.1564	/6.1364 95.	1.239	874374		
7062308 SUPpt	7062308 SUPpt d	Cal	6 8/1/2023 6:40 PM	200.0000	1.242 401.	186,5015	186.0010 93.	3 1.239	814101		
7062309 100ppt	7062309 100ppt.c	Cal	5 8/1/2023 7:00 PM	400.0000	1.223 107-	872.4239	372.4239 93.	1 1.231	910014		
2062311 500ppt	7062311 500ppt d	Cal	8 8/1/2023 7:39 PM	2000.0000	1.223 483	2012 0803	2012 0803 1001	5 1.031	258879		
9 7062312 750ppt	7062312 750ppt.d	Cal	9 8/1/2023 7:59 PM	3000.0000	1.233 768	3003.6621	3003.6621 100	1 1.231	802592		
9 7052313 1000pet	7062313 1000ppt.d	Cel	10 8/1/2023 8:19 PM	4000.0000	1.225 947	3972 9684	3972 9584 99	3 1.231	744121		
9 7 7052314 MeOH	7062314 MeOH.d	Blank	8/1/2023 8:39 PM		0.974 1797	39473.59	39473.59.	1.147	117		
9 7062315 ICV-500-1	7052315 ICV-500-1.d	Sample	8/1/2023 8:59 PM		1.233 850.	394.7281	394.7281	1.231	683568		
• 7062316 MeOH	7062316 MeOH.d	Blank	8/1/2023 9:19 PM		0.982 1778	48140.83_	48140.83	1.147	91		
7062317 BLK 2	7062317 BLK 2.d	Blank	8/1/2023 9:39 PM		1.217 186	97265.04_	97265.04_	1.197	- 4		
7062318 BK1-unspiked S	IPE 1 7052318 BK1-unspiked SPE 1.	d Sample	8/1/2023 9:59 PM		1.242 886.	518.4941	518.4941	1.231	542527		
7062319 BK1-500 ppt SP	PE 1 7052319 BK1-500 ppt SPE 1.d	Sample	8/1/2023 10:19 PM		1,233 464	3006.9238	3006.9238	1.239	484783		×
Compound Information							 Calibration 	on Curve			* 4 ×
* * * AZ AV	<>> < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < > < >	5 4 6 2	AAAA A	<i>2</i>				10. 11	> Tent Ound	vitatic + Opinin Income + Wainter 1/a + ISTD OC CC	
- MRIM (213.0 -+ 168.9) 7002309 100cm	14	-1	ARM (1.070-1.880 min. 90 scans)	213.0 → **1 7062	309 100cot.d			W. I.	. We down	more - order more - Medier 1/1 - mo de ce	
월 x10 ⁴]	1.225 min.	2	x10 ³				PFBA - 10 Leve	els, 10 Levels Us	sed, 20 Points, 20 Po	Aoints Used, 0 QCs	-
5	1081.27	100	2.5 168.9				\$ 10 R-2	= 0.99965486	A 2 + 3 12010/C+	7004 X *7.0000082404	
0.8-		0	2-				S. Type	Quadratic, Orig	in Ignore, Weight 16	the	-
0.64			15-				2 12				
0.4							\$ 11				
0.2							2 1- 2				
0			05-			213.0	0.9-				
0.4 0.0 0.0	1 12 14 10 10	2 1	120 125 1	0 165 10	105 200 3	205 210 2	0.8			/	
	Acquisi	Son Time (min)				Mass-to-Charge ()	m(2) 0.7-				
- MRM (217.0 -> 172.0) 7062309 100pp	t.d	- 5	4RM (1.045-2.043 min, 120 scans	(217.0 > **) 705	2309 100ppt.d		0.6-			-	
분 x10 4	1,231 min.	E	x10 ⁴				0.5				
8	10000	8	1.5- 172.0				0.4				
6-			125				0.3				
4			1-				0.2		0.00		
1.0			0.75				0.1				
-			0.5-						*		
0-			0.25-			217.0	0-				
0.4 0.6 0.8	1 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8	2	170 175 180	185 190 1	195 200 205	210 215	220 -0.1-	200 6	one one one	a ma trans trans trans trans trans and trans trans	and a more and a more and and and and
	Acquisi	Bon Time (min)				Mass-to-Charge ((11/2)		400 800		Concentration (pp)

Figure 4. MassHunter QQQ Quantitation software used for data processing.

- 5. Regular weekly progress meetings are taking place with the co-PIs and students.
- 6. The project web page has been updated at the following address: <u>biosolids.fiu.edu</u>
- 7. Literature review on PFAS is on-going.
- 8. Manuscript preparation

Two manuscripts are being prepared for journal submission. These manuscripts focus on:

- i. Characterization of PFAS in biosolids
- ii. Leaching potential of PFAS from biosolids
- 9. Conference participation

Two abstracts have been submitted to the following conferences:

(1) Development of a sensitive method for determination of per and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in biosolids leachates

Authors: Joshua Ocheje, Yelena Katsenovich, Berrin Tansel, Natalia Quinete

Conference: SETAC North America 44th Annual Meeting, 12 – 16 November 2023, Louisville, Kentucky, USA. (2) Characterization of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in biosolids

Authors:	Berrin Tansel, Yelena Katsenovich, Natalia Quinete,
	Joshua Ocheje, Zariah Nasir
Symposium:	2023 FWEA Biosolids Symposium, September 14, 2023
	St. Petersburg College, Clearwater Florida

Planned activities for Quarter 4:

- Continue leaching experiments
- Continue PFAS analyses in biosolids samples and samples of leachate from biosolids.
- Continue investigating PFAS related data and information as well as biosolids land application practices and potential runoff and partitioning data for biosolids related organic matter.
- Develop abstracts for submittal to conferences on:.
 - Time dependent solubilization and the release characteristics of the PFAS homologues from biosolids.
 - Scientific understanding of PFAS originating from biosolids as a source in the environment, potential exposure pathways for human health and ecological effects.
 - Recommendations for appropriate testing and land application practices of biosolids in Florida.

Months	Planned Activities	Status		
September	 Weekly project update meetings Leaching experiments PFAS analysis Protein content of biosolids 	ContinuingContinuing		
October	 In-depth analyses of data from preliminary tests TAG Meeting Weekly project update meetings PFAS analysis Develop modeling methodology for partitioning and leaching of different types of PFAS 	 Continuing Continuing Continuing Continuing 		
November	 Weekly project update meeting Finalize modeling methodology for partitioning and leaching of different types of PFAS Draft final report 	ContinuingPlanned		